



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/916,792	07/27/2001	Sean James Martin	GB920010043US1	8788
75	90 03/01/2005		EXAMINER	
A. Bruce Clay		BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
IBM Corporatio	oration T81/503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	le Park, NC 27709		2142	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/916,792	MARTIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Douglas B Blair	2142	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period fo	or Reply			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS CO	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 December 2004.		
2a)⊠	<u></u>	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alle	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the applica	ition.		
/_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	<u> </u>	by the Examiner.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 55 0.0.0. 3	110(a)-(a) or (i).	
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
	application from the International Bu		,	
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
		•		
Attachmen	···			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St	′)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
· —	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> .	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/916,792

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The applicant's amendment has made the double patenting rejection no longer valid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 10-24 and 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,606,661 to Agrawal et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,389,028 to Bondarenko et al..
- 4. As to claims 1-7, 10-24, and 27-40, they are rejected for the same reasons presented in the previous office action.
- 5. Claims 8-9 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,606,661 to Agrawal et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,389,028 to Bondarenko et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,011,537 to Slotznick.
- 6. As to claims 8-9 and 25-26, they are rejected for the same reasons presented in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/916,792

Art Unit: 2142

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the following points: (a) Agrawal does not teach that a time period is specified, during which the client may access the resource; and (b) Nowhere does Bondarenko teach or suggest that a user that has missed or gone beyond the time period for accessing the scarce resource may be allowed to access the resource even though the desired maximum capacity has already been reached.
- 8. As to point (a), a position in the queue is an access slot. The period of time when a user gets to the head of the queue is the period of time when the scarce resource may be accessed.
- 9. As to point (b), Bondarenko does not explicitly say that a user has missed or gone beyond a time period; however, scenarios, as claimed, where a user has missed or gone beyond a time slot are taught by Bondarenko because such scenarios use the process as those for a new user.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/916,792

Art Unit: 2142

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 571-272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

CUPERVISON OF THE TEXAMINER